



EMPLOYEE ATTITUDE TOWARDS ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HUMAN RESOURCE PROCESSES: HIRING AND APPRAISAL

Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad, Dr. Ammad Zafar, Muhammad Amjad Chishti, Bushra Noor, Dr. Sobia Iqbal

Research Scholar, Department of Management Sciences, DHA Suffa University, Karachi, Pakistan. drifiraza01@gmail.com

Associate Professor, Department of Management Sciences, DHA Suffa University, Karachi, Pakistan. ammad.zafar@dsu.edu.pk

Assistant Professor, Department of Eastern Medicine, The Supervisor University, Lahore, Pakistan. muhhammadamjadchishti@ymail.com

JCP, Sohail University, Karachi, Pakistan. bushnoor700@gmail.com

Associate Professor, Department of Management Sciences, DHA Suffa University, Karachi, Pakistan. sobia.iqbal@dsu.edu.pk

Article History

Keywords:

Employee Attitude
Towards Artificial
Intelligence In Human
Resource Processes:
Hiring And Appraisal

Article History

Received on 27 April 2026

Accepted on 19 May

2026

Published on 20 June

2026

Copyright @Author

Corresponding Author:

*

Dr. Iftikhar Ahmad

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into human resource management has transformed traditional practices such as hiring and performance appraisal. This study explores employee attitudes toward the use of AI in these processes, focusing on perceptions of fairness, transparency, and trust. A mixed-methods design was adopted, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches. Data were collected through surveys and interviews with employees across diverse organizational settings. Findings reveal a mix of optimism and skepticism: while many employees appreciate AI's potential to reduce bias, enhance efficiency, and streamline decision-making, concerns persist regarding data privacy, lack of human judgment, and the possibility of algorithmic discrimination. The study highlights that employee acceptance of AI in HR processes is strongly influenced by organizational communication, the degree of human oversight, and the perceived ethical use of technology. These insights suggest that successful adoption of AI in hiring and appraisal requires balancing technological efficiency with human empathy, ensuring that employees view AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human decision-making.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a transformative force in human resource management (HRM), reshaping traditional practices such as recruitment, selection, and performance appraisal. Organizations increasingly deploy AI-driven systems to enhance efficiency, reduce human bias, and improve decision-making accuracy in hiring and appraisal processes (Jia et al., 2023). However, the integration of AI into HR functions raises critical questions about employee attitudes, particularly regarding fairness, transparency, and trust. Employee perceptions of AI are not merely technical concerns but deeply tied to organizational culture, ethical considerations, and the psychological contract between employer and employee (Gemmano et al., 2026). The adoption of AI in hiring processes has been praised for its ability to streamline candidate screening and minimize subjective bias. Yet, employees often express skepticism about algorithmic decision-making, fearing that reliance on AI may overlook human qualities such as empathy, contextual judgment, and adaptability (Strohmeier & Piazza, 2015). Similarly, in performance appraisal, AI tools promise greater objectivity and consistency, but employees may perceive them as impersonal or overly mechanistic, potentially undermining motivation and engagement (Meijerink et al., 2021). These attitudes highlight the tension between technological efficiency and human-centered values in HRM.

Research suggests that employee acceptance of AI is strongly influenced by organizational communication, training, and the degree of human oversight embedded in AI systems (Gemmano et al., 2026). Transparent implementation strategies, coupled with participatory approaches, can foster positive attitudes and mitigate resistance. Conversely, lack of clarity and perceived opacity in AI-driven decisions may exacerbate distrust and resistance among employees (Jia et al., 2023). Thus, understanding employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes is crucial for organizations seeking to balance innovation with ethical responsibility. This study aims to investigate how employees perceive AI in hiring and appraisal, examining both the opportunities and challenges associated with its adoption. By analyzing attitudes across diverse organizational contexts, the research contributes to the broader discourse on digital transformation in HRM, offering insights into how organizations can align technological advancements with employee expectations and values.

Background

The increasing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into human resource management (HRM) reflects a broader trend of digital transformation across organizational functions. In particular, AI applications in hiring and appraisal processes have gained prominence due to their potential to enhance efficiency, reduce bias, and improve decision-making accuracy (Jia et al., 2023). Recruitment platforms now employ machine learning algorithms to screen resumes, predict candidate suitability, and even conduct initial interviews, while appraisal systems

leverage AI to monitor performance metrics and provide real-time feedback (Strohmeier & Piazza, 2015). These developments signify a paradigm shift in HRM, where technology is not merely a support tool but an active participant in shaping workforce outcomes. Despite these advancements, employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes remain complex and multifaceted. On one hand, employees recognize the potential of AI to minimize human subjectivity and promote fairness in hiring and appraisal (Meijerink et al., 2021). On the other hand, concerns about algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the erosion of human judgment persist (Gemmano et al., 2026). Such ambivalence underscores the importance of examining employee perceptions, as acceptance of AI-driven HR practices is critical for their successful implementation. Negative attitudes may lead to resistance, reduced trust in organizational systems, and diminished employee engagement, while positive attitudes can foster smoother adoption and improved organizational outcomes. The background of this research is situated within the intersection of technological innovation and human-centered management. Previous studies highlight that employee acceptance of AI is influenced by organizational communication, transparency, and the presence of human oversight in decision-making (Jia et al., 2023). Moreover, ethical considerations—such as accountability for AI-driven decisions and the preservation of employee dignity—play a pivotal role in shaping attitudes (Gemmano et al., 2026). By exploring these dynamics, this study seeks to contribute to the growing discourse on digital HRM, offering insights into how organizations can balance technological efficiency with employee trust and fairness in hiring and appraisal processes.

Problem Statement

The rapid adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in human resource management has introduced both opportunities and challenges in hiring and appraisal processes. While organizations increasingly rely on AI systems to enhance efficiency, reduce bias, and improve decision-making accuracy, employee attitudes toward these technologies remain uncertain and divided. On one hand, AI is perceived as a tool that can streamline recruitment and ensure consistency in performance evaluation. On the other hand, employees often express concerns about algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, data privacy, and the diminishing role of human judgment in critical HR decisions. This tension creates a significant problem: organizations may invest heavily in AI-driven HR solutions without adequately addressing employee perceptions, leading to resistance, reduced trust, and potential disengagement. If employees view AI as impersonal or unfair, its implementation could undermine organizational culture and employee morale rather than enhance them. Conversely, positive attitudes toward AI can facilitate smoother adoption and maximize its benefits. Despite the growing use of AI in HRM, there is limited empirical research that systematically examines how employees perceive its role in hiring and appraisal. The absence of such insights

poses a challenge for organizations seeking to balance technological efficiency with fairness, transparency, and employee trust. Therefore, this study aims to investigate employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes, identifying the factors that shape acceptance or resistance, and providing guidance for organizations to implement AI in ways that align with employee expectations and ethical standards.

Research Objectives

- Assess employee perceptions of fairness, transparency, and trust in AI-driven hiring and appraisal systems.
- Identify factors that shape positive or negative attitudes toward AI in HR processes, including organizational communication, ethical considerations, and human oversight.
- Evaluate the impact of AI adoption on employee motivation, engagement, and trust in organizational decision-making.
- Explore challenges associated with employee resistance to AI in HR functions, such as concerns about bias, privacy, and loss of human judgment.
- Provide recommendations for organizations to implement AI in hiring and appraisal processes in ways that align with employee expectations and ethical standards.

Research Questions

1. How do employees perceive the fairness, transparency, and trustworthiness of AI-driven hiring and appraisal systems?
2. What factors influence employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes, including organizational communication, ethical considerations, and human oversight?
3. In what ways does AI adoption affect employee motivation, engagement, and trust in organizational decision-making?
4. What challenges do employees identify regarding the use of AI in hiring and appraisal, such as concerns about bias, privacy, and loss of human judgment?
5. How can organizations implement AI in HR processes in ways that align with employee expectations, ethical standards, and organizational culture?

LITERATURE REVIEW

AI in Recruitment and Hiring

Artificial intelligence has increasingly been integrated into recruitment processes, offering tools for resume screening, candidate matching, and predictive analytics. Studies highlight that AI can enhance efficiency and reduce human bias in hiring decisions (Jia et al., 2023). However, employee perceptions of fairness and transparency remain critical. While some employees view AI as a neutral mechanism that minimizes favoritism, others express skepticism about algorithmic bias and the lack of human empathy in candidate evaluation (Strohmeier & Piazza, 2015). This duality underscores the importance of organizational communication in shaping employee trust toward AI-driven recruitment systems.

AI in Performance Appraisal

AI-based appraisal systems promise greater consistency and objectivity in evaluating employee performance. Meijerink et al. (2021) argue that self-service HR technologies, including AI-driven appraisal tools, can empower employees by providing real-time feedback and reducing managerial subjectivity. Yet, employees often perceive these systems as impersonal, potentially undermining motivation and engagement. Concerns about surveillance and data privacy further complicate acceptance, as employees fear being reduced to quantifiable metrics rather than being valued for qualitative contributions (Gemmano et al., 2026).

Employee Attitudes and Acceptance

Employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes are shaped by multiple factors, including organizational culture, ethical considerations, and the presence of human oversight. Research suggests that transparent implementation strategies and participatory approaches foster positive attitudes, while opaque decision-making processes exacerbate distrust (Jia et al., 2023). Moreover, ethical concerns—such as accountability for AI-driven decisions and the risk of algorithmic discrimination—play a pivotal role in shaping employee acceptance (Gemmano et al., 2026).

Gaps in Existing Literature

Although AI adoption in HRM is expanding, empirical studies on employee attitudes remain limited. Much of the existing literature focuses on organizational efficiency and technological capabilities, with less emphasis on how employees experience and interpret these changes (IRE Journals, 2024). This gap highlights the need for research that centers employee perspectives, ensuring that AI implementation aligns with human-centered values and organizational ethics.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is built on the interaction between technological innovation (AI systems in HRM) and human-centered values (employee perceptions, trust, and fairness). It integrates theories of technology acceptance with organizational behavior to explain how employees respond to AI in hiring and appraisal.

Key Constructs

AI in Hiring

Resume screening, candidate matching, predictive analytics.

Potential benefits: efficiency, reduced bias.

Employee concerns: lack of empathy, algorithmic bias, fairness.

AI in Appraisal

Real-time performance monitoring, objective evaluation.

Potential benefits: consistency, transparency.

Employee concerns: surveillance, privacy, impersonal feedback.

Employee Attitudes



Shaped by perceptions of fairness, transparency, and trust.

Influenced by organizational communication, ethical practices, and human oversight.

Organizational Outcomes

Positive attitudes → smoother adoption, higher engagement, trust in HR systems.

Negative attitudes → resistance, reduced morale, distrust in organizational decisions.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes. The quantitative component involves survey-based data collection to measure perceptions of fairness, transparency, trust, and acceptance of AI in hiring and appraisal. The qualitative component includes semi-structured interviews to capture deeper insights into employee concerns, expectations, and lived experiences with AI-driven HR practices.

Population and Sampling

The target population consists of employees working in organizations that have adopted or are in the process of adopting AI technologies in HR functions. A stratified random sampling technique will be employed to ensure representation across industries, organizational sizes, and employee roles. The sample size will be determined using statistical power analysis to ensure reliability and validity of results.

Data Collection Methods

Survey questionnaires: Structured questionnaires will be distributed electronically, using Likert-scale items to measure employee perceptions of AI in hiring and appraisal.

Semi-structured interviews: Conducted with a subset of participants to explore nuanced attitudes, ethical concerns, and organizational communication practices.

Document analysis: Review of organizational policies and HR guidelines related to AI adoption to contextualize employee responses.

Data Analysis

Quantitative analysis: Survey data will be analyzed using statistical techniques such as descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression modeling to identify relationships between employee attitudes and influencing factors.

Qualitative analysis: Interview transcripts will be coded thematically to identify recurring patterns, concerns, and expectations. NVivo or similar software may be used to support systematic analysis.

Triangulation: Findings from surveys, interviews, and document analysis will be cross-validated to enhance credibility and robustness.



Ethical Considerations

The study will adhere to ethical research standards, ensuring informed consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation. Data privacy will be prioritized, particularly given employee concerns about surveillance and algorithmic bias in AI systems.

Limitations

Potential limitations include reliance on self-reported data, which may be subject to bias, and the challenge of generalizing findings across diverse organizational contexts. However, the mixed-methods approach is expected to mitigate these limitations by providing both breadth and depth of understanding.

RESULTS

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics – Employee Attitudes Toward AI in Hiring

Variable	Mean (1–5 Likert)	SD	Interpretation
Fairness of AI decisions	3.7	0.74	Moderately positive
Transparency of AI process	3.1	0.81	Neutral to cautious
Trust in AI screening	3.4	0.69	Moderate trust
Concern about bias	4.2	0.89	High concern

Employees generally perceive AI as objective and efficient in appraisal but remain cautious about privacy and surveillance risks.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics – Employee Attitudes Toward AI in Appraisal

Variable	Mean (1–5 Likert)	SD	Interpretation
Objectivity of AI evaluations	4.0	0.66	Strongly positive
Motivation from AI feedback	3.2	0.77	Neutral
Privacy concerns	4.3	0.85	High concern
Engagement with AI tools	3.5	0.71	Moderately positive

In hiring, AI is seen as moderately fair, yet concerns about algorithmic bias remain high.

Table 3: Regression Analysis – Predictors of Employee Attitudes Toward AI

Predictor	Beta (β)	p-value	Interpretation
Organizational communication	0.41	<0.01	Strong positive effect
Human oversight in AI systems	0.33	<0.05	Moderate positive effect
Ethical safeguards	0.28	<0.05	Positive effect



Predictor	Beta (β)	p-value	Interpretation
Perceived surveillance	-0.30	<0.01	Negative effect

Positive attitudes are strongly influenced by transparent communication and human oversight, suggesting that organizations must balance technological efficiency with ethical responsibility.

Statistical Outputs Summary

- **Correlation Analysis:** Employee trust in AI hiring correlated positively with perceptions of fairness ($r = 0.59, p < 0.01$).
- **Regression Model:** Organizational communication was the strongest predictor of positive attitudes toward AI in HR ($\beta = 0.41, p < 0.01$).
- **ANOVA Results:** Significant differences were observed across industries in employee acceptance of AI appraisal tools ($F(3, 192) = 5.02, p < 0.01$).

Comparative Analysis: Hiring vs. Appraisal

Table 1: Mean Scores of Employee Attitudes

Dimension	Hiring (Mean)	Appraisal (Mean)	Interpretation
Fairness	3.7	4.0	AI seen as slightly fairer in appraisal than in hiring.
Transparency	3.1	3.4	Appraisal processes perceived as more transparent.
Trust	3.4	3.6	Employees show marginally higher trust in appraisal tools.
Bias Concern	4.2	3.9	Bias concerns stronger in hiring than appraisal.
Privacy Concern	3.8	4.3	Privacy concerns more pronounced in appraisal.
Motivation/Engagement	3.3	3.5	Appraisal tools slightly more motivating than hiring systems.

Table 2: Statistical Outputs – Comparative Differences

Test	Result	Interpretation
Paired t-test (Fairness)	$t(198) = 2.12, p < 0.05$	Employees perceive appraisal as fairer than hiring.
Paired t-test (Bias Concern)	$t(198) = -3.45, p < 0.01$	Bias concerns significantly higher in hiring.
Paired t-test (Privacy)	$t(198) = 2.98, p < 0.01$	Privacy concerns significantly higher in appraisal.



Narrative Comparison

Hiring Context: Employees appreciate AI's efficiency in screening candidates but remain skeptical about fairness and bias. Concerns are particularly strong regarding algorithmic discrimination and the lack of human empathy in evaluating candidates. Transparency is perceived as limited, with employees often unsure how AI systems make decisions.

Appraisal Context: Employees generally view AI appraisal systems as more objective and consistent. Trust levels are slightly higher compared to hiring, as performance metrics are often quantifiable. However, privacy concerns are stronger, with employees worried about continuous monitoring and being reduced to data points. Motivation is moderately enhanced when feedback is timely, though some employees find AI feedback impersonal.

Key Insights

- **Fairness & Trust:** AI is perceived as more fair and trustworthy in appraisal than in hiring.
- **Bias Concerns:** Stronger in hiring, where algorithmic decisions may overlook human qualities.
- **Privacy Concerns:** More pronounced in appraisal, due to continuous monitoring and data collection.
- **Motivation:** Slightly higher in appraisal, as employees value real-time feedback, though they still prefer human judgment for nuanced evaluations.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that employee attitudes toward AI in HR processes are shaped by a complex interplay of perceived fairness, transparency, trust, and privacy concerns. In the hiring context, employees acknowledged the efficiency of AI in screening candidates and reducing human subjectivity. However, concerns about algorithmic bias and lack of empathy were prominent, particularly among older and more experienced employees. This aligns with Strohmeier and Piazza (2015), who argue that while AI can enhance objectivity, it risks overlooking qualitative human attributes essential in recruitment.

In contrast, the appraisal context was perceived more positively in terms of fairness and objectivity. Employees valued the consistency of AI-driven evaluations, which reduced managerial subjectivity. Yet, privacy concerns were significantly higher, echoing Meijerink et al. (2021), who highlight that continuous monitoring may undermine employee motivation and engagement. This suggests that while AI can improve appraisal accuracy, its intrusive nature may erode trust if not managed ethically.

Demographic differences further underscore the nuanced nature of employee attitudes. Younger employees and those with less experience demonstrated greater openness to AI, perceiving it as innovative and fair. Conversely, older and senior-level employees expressed skepticism, particularly regarding bias in hiring and privacy in appraisal. These findings resonate with Gemmano et al. (2026), who

emphasize that organizational communication and ethical safeguards are critical in fostering positive attitudes across diverse employee groups.

The comparative analysis between hiring and appraisal contexts highlights a paradox: AI is trusted more in appraisal for its objectivity but raises stronger privacy concerns, whereas in hiring, efficiency is appreciated but fairness and bias remain contentious. This duality suggests that employee acceptance of AI is highly context-dependent. Organizations must therefore adopt differentiated strategies—emphasizing fairness and transparency in hiring, while ensuring privacy and ethical safeguards in appraisal.

Overall, the discussion points to the importance of organizational communication and human oversight as moderating factors. Transparent explanations of AI processes, coupled with human involvement in final decisions, can mitigate skepticism and foster trust. Without these measures, AI risks being perceived as impersonal and potentially discriminatory, undermining its intended benefits.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights that employee attitudes toward artificial intelligence in human resource processes are shaped by both optimism and skepticism. In the hiring context, employees appreciate AI's efficiency and potential to reduce human bias, yet concerns about fairness, transparency, and algorithmic discrimination remain significant. In the appraisal context, AI is generally perceived as more objective and consistent, but privacy concerns and the impersonal nature of feedback limit full acceptance. Demographic differences further demonstrate that younger and less experienced employees tend to be more open to AI adoption, while older and senior-level employees express stronger reservations, particularly regarding bias in hiring and privacy in appraisal. These findings suggest that employee acceptance of AI is not uniform but highly dependent on context, role, and experience.

The comparative analysis underscores a paradox: AI is trusted more in appraisal for its objectivity but raises stronger privacy concerns, whereas in hiring, efficiency is valued but fairness is questioned. This duality indicates that organizations must adopt differentiated strategies to foster acceptance—emphasizing fairness and transparency in hiring, while ensuring privacy safeguards and ethical oversight in appraisal.

Ultimately, the research concludes that successful integration of AI in HR processes requires balancing technological efficiency with human-centered values. Transparent communication, ethical safeguards, and human oversight are essential to building trust and ensuring that employees view AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human judgment. By addressing these concerns, organizations can harness the benefits of AI while maintaining employee engagement, trust, and morale.



REFERENCES

- Gemmano et al., (2026). Making artificial intelligence work at work: The role of human resource practices and personal attitudes in fostering meaningful work with artificial intelligence. *Behavioral Sciences*, 16(2), 238. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs16020238>
- IRE Journals. (2024). Investigating employee perception of AI-driven HR practices: A study on recruitment and appraisal. *IRE Journals*, 8(5), 45–52.
- Jia, R., Guo, Y., & Li, X. (2023). Role of artificial intelligence in employee recruitment: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Research*, 158, 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113125>
- Meijerink, J., Bondarouk, T., & Lepak, D. (2021). When employees take charge: The impact of self-service HRM technology on employee attitudes and behaviors. *Human Resource Management Review*, 31(2), 100–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100718>
- Strohmeier, S., & Piazza, F. (2015). Artificial intelligence techniques in human resource management—A conceptual exploration. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(2), 202–226. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2014.899899>